

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/064,817	,817 08/21/2002		Nigel Dennis Griffin	78.1151-1	9775 .		
26932	7590	01/09/2004		EXAMINER			
GRANT P		L.P.	JENKINS, DANIEL J				
JEFFREY E 1330 POST		D. SUITE 2700	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
HOUSTON	, TX 770:	56	1742				
				DATE MAILED: 01/00/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						[1			
			Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/064,817		GRIFFIN, NIGEL	DENNIS			
		-	Examiner		Art Unit				
			Daniel J. Jenkins	;	1742				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appe	ears on the cove	r sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
THE - Extermination of the control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN IN IN IT IS A WAY TO SHARE OF THIS COMMUN IN IT IS A WAY TO SHARE OF THE WAY T	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.130 munication. (30) days, a reply statutory period wi by will, by statute,	6(a). In no event, howe within the statutory mir ill apply and will expire cause the application t	ever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from o become ABANDONED	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	iy. ommunication.			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) fil	led on <u>10 Ju</u>	<u>ne 2003</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This a	action is non-fina	al.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
•	ion Papers								
10)□	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected the oath or declaration is objected.	e: a) acce ection to the d ig the correcti	epted or b) ob drawing(s) be held on is required if th	l in abeyance. See le drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	• •			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 									
Attachmen									
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)				(PTO-413) Paper No atent Application (PT				

Application/Control Number: 10/064,817

Art Unit: 1742

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claims 1-9, 11-16 and 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Griffin et al. '447.

Griffin et al. '447 discloses a method of making a cutter (see figures 3 and 4 for engagement) comprising:

providing a first substrate (19);

providing a second substrate (20);

providing a polycrystalline/catalyst component (21); and

bonding the first and second substrate to the polycrystalline/catalyst component under high temperature and pressure (col. 6, lines 43-51).

Griffin et al. '447 further discloses wherein the catalyst comprises cobalt (col. 7, lines 6-9).

Griffin et al. '447 further discloses wherein the cutter is engaged on a third substrate (28).

Griffin et al. '447 further discloses wherein the first substrate is in annular form.

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1742

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 10 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Griffin et al. '447.

Griffin et al. '447 discloses the invention substantially as claimed (see paragraph 2 above). However, Griffin e al. '447 do not disclose wherein the first substrate is grooved.

It is common knowledge in the same field of endeavor to groove a surface of a substrate cutter in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of improving the bonding between a substrate and a diamond/binder component.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to groove the substrate (19) in the invention of Griffin et al. '447 in order to improve the bond to the diamond/binder component.

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Art Unit: 1742

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1-10 and 18-22 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-10 and 18-22 of copending Application No. 10/064,428. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both go the method of forming the multi-substrate cutter, the language to notches reading on the surrounding structure of the second substrate.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel J. Jenkins whose telephone number is 571-272-1242. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH6:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1242. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Daniel J. Jenkins Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742 Application/Control Number: 10/064,817

Art Unit: 1742

Page 5

dj December 14, 2003